



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.ispic.gov

			,	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/496,318 02/01/2000		Ye Gu	3382-53698	6047
7.	590 01/24/2003			
Klarquist Sparkman Campbell			EXAMINER	
Leigh & Whinston LLP One World Trade Center Suite 1600 121 SW Salmon Street Portland, OR 97204-2988			PRIETO, BEATRIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			2142	1,
			DATE MAILED: 01/24/2003	1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

H

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/496,318	GU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	B. Prieto	2142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 M	March 2002 .					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application	1.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.					
Application Papers	_					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been rec	eived.				
Attachment(s)		·				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal I	/ (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/496,318 (GU et. al.)

Art Unit: 2142

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. §121:

I. Claims 1-2, is drawn to dynamically self-bootstrapping a computing device for peer

networking.

II. Claims 3-17, are drawn to a process for automatically introducing a computing device into an

ad hoc network of other computing devices, the process having an address phase, an announce phase, a

discovery phase, a discovery response phase, and a device description phase.

III. Claims 18-24, dynamically self-bootstrapping computing device on an adhoc network.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions

II-IV and I are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The

subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable.

In the instant case, invention II (claims 3-24) has separate utility such as it is usable in a method for automatically introducing a computing device into an ad hoc network of other computing devices, the process having an address phase, an announce phase, a discovery phase, a discovery response phase, and a device description phase. Invention II contains a selecting, sending a multi-cast message, listening, specifying, sending a response message and responding claim processes and corresponding features. These processes and phases are not required by Invention I (i.e. claims 1-2), and therefore require another distinct and different search.

Further, in the case of Invention III (i.e. claims 18-24) has separate utility such as it is usable for dynamically self-bootstrapping computing device on an adhoc network. Invention III contains self-assigning an address for the computing device on an ad hoc network by selecting an address from a reserved range of addresses, multi-casting an announcement message on a multi-cast communications channel of the ad hoc network informing of the computing device's assigned address; description means responsive to a description request received by the computing device on the ad hoc network for sending a description message defining interaction via data messaging with the computing device to remotely operate the computing device over the ad hoc network, not required for invention II, and therefore requiring another distinct and different search. See MPEP 806.05(d).

2. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and because the search required for each group is different and not co-extensive for examination purpose because these groups would require different searches e.g. a) the Group I search (1-2) would require use of search which would not

Application/Control Number: 09/496,318 (GU et. al.)

Art Unit: 2142

required for the Groups II-III); b) the Group II search (claims 3-17) would require use of search which would not be required for the Groups I and III), therefore the restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. §121 to one of the above-identified patentably distinct groups of designs. A reply to this requirement must include an election of a single group for prosecution on the merits, even if this requirement is traversed, 37 CFR 1.143. Any reply that does not include election of a single group will be held non-responsive. Applicant is also requested to direct cancellation of all drawing figures and the corresponding descriptions, which are directed to the non-elected.
- 4. Should applicant traverse this requirement on the grounds that the groups are not patentably distinct, applicant should present evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the groups to be obvious variations of one another. If the groups are determined not to be patentably distinct and they remain in this application, any rejection of one group over prior art will apply equally to all other embodiments. See Ex parte Appeal No. 315-40, 152 USPQ 71 (Bd. App. 1965). No argument asserting patentability based on the differences between the groups will be considered once the groups have been determined to comprise a single inventive concept.
- 5. In view of the above requirement, action on the merits is deferred pending compliance with the requirement in accordance with Ex parte Heckman, 135 USPQ 229 (P.O. Super. Exam. 1960).

Application/Control Number: 09/496,318 (GU et. al.)

Art Unit: 2142

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Prieto, B. whose telephone number is (703) 305-0750. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:00 to 3:30 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mark R. Powell can be reached on (703) 305-9703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-6606. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800/4700.

Any response to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or Faxed to:

(703) 746-7239, for Official communications and entry

Or:

(703) 746-7240, for Non-Official or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT".

Or Telephone:

(703) 306-5631 for TC 2100 Customer Service Office.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II,

2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist), further ensuring that a receipt is provided stamped "TC 2100".

GAU 2142/TC 2100 Patent Examiner

January 16, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100